Translation



PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

... (PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference							
FP03-0248-00	· FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416					
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year	Priority date (day/month/year)					
РСТ/ЈР2003/013324	17 October 2003 (17.10.2003)						
International Patent Classification (IPC) or no F15B 11/00	ational classification and IPC						
Applicant							
	TAMURA ELECTRIC WORKS, LTD.						
1. This report is the international prelim	inary examination report, established by t	his International Proliminant Provider					
Authority under Article 35 and transr	nitted to the applicant according to Article	36.					
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	3 sheets, including this cover	or all and					
3. This report is also accompanied by A	NNEXES, comprising:	or sneet.					
<u> </u>							
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).							
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.							
	I Bureau only) a total of (indicate	type and number of electronic and the					
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)) readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).							
4. This report contains indications relating	g to the following items:						
Box No. I Basis of the repo	rt						
Box No. II Priority							
Box No. III Non-establishme	nt of opinion with regard to novelty, inves	ntive step and industrial applicability					
Box No. IV Lack of unity of		approaching					
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;							
Box No. VI Certain documen							
Box No. VII Certain defects in	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application						
Box No. VIII Certain observati	ons on the international application						
Date of submission of the demand	Date of completion	Date of completion of this report					
24 February 2004 (24.02.2	004) 07	June 2004 (07.06.2004)					
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JP	Authorized officer						
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.						

INTERNATIONAL PRESENTINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

_	
In	tional application No.
	PCT/JP2003/013324

Box N	o. I	Basis of the report
1. With	h regard	I to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless
	This whic	report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, this language of a translation furnished for the purpose of:
ĺ		international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))
ļ		publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)
		international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)
		- Cardon (Middle 1 (Middle 25.2 alid/01 55.5)
2. With furn and	are not	to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" attenuational application as originally filed/furnished
		scription:
	pages	·
	pages*	, as originally filed/furnished
	pages*	received by this Authority on
	the cla	
		MID.
	pages*	, as originally filed/furnished
	pages*	, as amended (together with any statement) under Article 1
	pages*	received by this Authority on
	pagos	received by this Authority on
	the drav	wings:
	pages	, as originally filed/furnished
	pages*	received by this Authority on
	pages*	received by this Authority on
	a seque:	nce listing and/or any related table(s) see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.
3. 🔲	The ame	endments have resulted in the cancellation of:
	☐ th	e description, pages
		e claims. Nos
	=	
	片	e drawings, sheets/figs
	⊣"	e sequence listing (specify):
	an	y table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):
. 🔲 :	This rep nade, si Rule 70	ort has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been not they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box.
	the	e description, pages
	the	claims, Nos.
ĺ	the	drawings, sheets/figs
í	the	Sequence listing (analysis
i	╡	sequence listing (specify):
L	any	y table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):
If item 4	applies	s, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."
		superseded."

	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
1. Statement						
Novelty (N)	Claims	44	YES			
	Claims	1-3	NO			
Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES			
	Claims	1-4	NO			
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-4	YES			
	Claims		NO			
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

Document 1: JP, 53-36004, A Document 2: JP, 53-36005, A

(1) Claims 1-3

The "hydraulic pressure source," "hydraulic pump motor," "inertia body" and "open/close valve" described in claim 1 are described in document 1 (see the description concerning Fig. 2) cited in the ISR as "first pump 3," "second pump 7," "flywheel 8" and "second solenoid valve 12." Furthermore, they are also described in document 2 (see the description concerning Fig. 2) cited in the ISR as "first pump 2," "second pump 6 and third pump 17," "flywheel 15" and "solenoid valves 10 and 19." Moreover, the matters described in claims 2 and 3 are considered to be obvious from the descriptions of documents 1 and 2. So, the subject matters of claims 1-3 do not appear to be novel or to involve an inventive step.

(2) Claim 4

For the apparatuses described in documents 1 and 2, only examples in which one "load" is driven are described. However, unless there is any other special matter, it is considered to be a mere matter of design variation that a person skilled in the art could have employed as required, that constitutions, each of which is provided for one load, are provided in parallel to each other. So, the subject matter of claim 4 does not appear to involve an inventive step.